	in the second se	×						
1	Linda M. Lawson (Bar No. 77130) LLawson@mmhllp.com Allison Vana (Bar No. 228282)							
3	A Vana(a)mmhllp.com	' ס	NTRAUS T					
_	MESERVE, MUMPER & HUGHES LLP 300 South Grand Avenue, 24th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071-3185							
4	Telephone: (213) 620-0300 Facsimile: (213) 625-1930		NCELLOS M					
5			200 G					
6	Attorneys for Defendant COMBINED INSURANCE COMPAN	Y	22 NULL					
7								
8		S DISTRICT COURT						
9	•	ICT OF CALIFORN	lA					
10	WESTER	N DIVISION						
11		CV10 25	90 a 510 d					
12	JEANNIE ROBLES, an individual,) Case No.						
13	Plaintiff,) DEFENDANT C	OMBINED OMPANY'S NOTICE					
14	VS.) OF REMOVAL (OF ACTION TO					
15	COMBINED INSURANCE COMPANY, a corporation, and DOES UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Complaint Filed: February 2, 2010							
16	COMPANY, a corporation, and DOES 1 through 30, inclusive,)	· ·					
17	Defendant.	Case No. BC4311	unty Superior Court [118]					
18		•						
19	TO THE DISTRICT COURT	OF THE UNITED	STATES, CENTRAL					
20	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, AND T	O PLAINTIFF, JEA	NNIE ROBLES, AND					
21	HER ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:							
22	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that of	on April 9, 2010, D	efendant COMBINED					
23	INSURANCE COMPANY ("Combined"), contemporaneously with the filing of							
24	this Notice, is effecting the removal of the above-referenced civil action, Case							
25	No. BC431118, from the Superior Court	No. BC431118, from the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of						
26	Los Angeles, to the United States D	District Court for th	e Central District of					
27	California, based upon diversity jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sections 1332,							
28	1441, and 1446, in that the suit has been	brought between citi	zens of different states					
- !!			Ĭ.					

LAW OFFICES MESERVE, MUMPER & HUGHES LLP

Case No.
NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION TO
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

and the amount in controversy exceeds the sum of \$75,000, exclusive of costs and interest. The suit is one of a civil nature over which the United States District Court has original jurisdiction.

The removal is based on the following grounds:

I. TIMELINESS.

- 1. On or about February 2, 2010, plaintiff Jeannie Robles ("Plaintiff") commenced this action by filing a Complaint in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles, entitled <u>Jeannie Robles v. Combined Insurance Company</u>, et al., designated Case No. BC431118.
- 2. Combined first received a copy of the Summons and Complaint on March 11, 2010. No prior pleading or paper has been served on Combined. A true and correct copy of the Summons, Complaint and all other process, pleadings, and orders obtained by Combined are attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "A." Combined has been served with no other process, pleadings, or orders.
- 3. On April 7, 2010, Combined filed an Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles. A true and correct copy of the Answer is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "B."
- 4. The Removal is therefore timely under 28 U.S.C. Section 1446(b) in that Combined has filed this Notice of Removal of Action within one (1) year of commencement of this action and within thirty (30) days of having first received the Summons and Complaint.

II. <u>JOINDER</u>.

5. There are no defendants that are required to join in this removal. All other defendants are fictitiously named and need not be considered for purposes of removal. 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a).

III. JURISDICTION.

6. This action has been removed to this Court based upon diversity jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sections 1332(a)(1), 1332(c)(1), 1441, and 1446 in that the suit has been brought between citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds the sum of \$75,000, exclusive of costs and interest, as set forth more fully below. The suit is one of a civil nature over which the United States District Court has original jurisdiction.

A. <u>Citizenship of Plaintiff.</u>

7. Combined alleges on information and belief that Plaintiff was, at the time of commencement of this action, and is now, a resident and citizen of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, as alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint. (Complaint, ¶ 1.)

B. <u>Citizenship of Combined.</u>

8. Defendant Combined, at all relevant times, was and is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Illinois, with its principal place of business in the State of Illinois, and is authorized to transact and is transacting the business of insurance in the State of California.

C. Amount in Controversy.

9. Plaintiff's failure to plead a specific amount of damages in her Complaint should be construed in favor of Combined, supporting a finding that the minimum amount in controversy has been met. See Bosinger v. Phillips Plastic Corp., 57 F. Supp. 2d 986, 989 (S.D. Cal. 1999) ("[A]s such evidence [to show that the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000] may not always be available to a removing defendant, to require such proof might defeat removal in an instance

where a plaintiff declined to plead a specific amount of damages and a defendant could not readily ascertain the approximate amount of damages a plaintiff seeks within thirty days. Moreover, while it is for the Court to decide its own jurisdiction, the Court finds in Plaintiff's silence, implicit support for Defendant's allegation as to the amount in controversy.").

- 10. Plaintiff seeks recovery against Combined of disability benefits that she alleges she is entitled to under a disability income insurance policy (the "Policy"). Plaintiff alleges that she became totally disabled in approximately May 2009, when she was 53 years old, and that Combined wrongfully denied her claim for disability benefits and rescinded the Policy. (Complaint, ¶¶ 6, 10-11.) Plaintiff further alleges that she remains disabled and eligible for benefits. (See id., ¶ 10.) The Policy provides a 12-month maximum benefit period. (See Schedule, p. 4 of Exhibit 1 to the Complaint.) Plaintiff alleges that she is entitled to a monthly benefit of \$917. (Complaint, ¶ 6.) Assuming for purposes of this Notice only a maximum monthly benefit of \$917, if so entitled, benefits paid to Plaintiff would exceed \$11,000 (\$917 x 12 months = \$11,004).
- 11. Additionally, by filing this unlimited civil action in the Superior Court, Plaintiff is attesting that the amount in controversy exceeds \$25,000. (See Civil Case Cover Sheet filed by Plaintiff in Superior Court, attached at Exhibit "A".)
- 12. In calculating the amount in controversy the Court must consider general damages for emotional distress and other incidental damages that Plaintiff may recover. See Kroske v. U.S. Bank Corp., 432 F.3d 976, 980 (9th Cir. 2005). In her Complaint, Plaintiff has included a claim for breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing. (Complaint, ¶¶ 22-27.) Under state law, Plaintiff can therefore seek and potentially recover general damages for emotional distress. See Major v. Western Home Ins. Co., 169 Cal. App. 4th 1197, 1215 (2009). Plaintiff specifically seeks general damages for emotional distress and incidental damages through her Complaint. (Complaint, ¶ 25; Complaint, Prayer p. 10.)

- Furthermore, in calculating the amount in controversy, the Court must 1 13. also consider the punitive and exemplary damages that Plaintiff may recover as a matter of law. See Surber v. Reliance Nat'l Indem. Co., 110 F. Supp. 2d 1227, 1232 3 (N.D. Cal. 2000) (citing Richmond v. Allstate Ins. Co., 897 F. Supp. 447, 450 (S.D. 4 Cal. 1995)). In her Complaint, Plaintiff has included a claim for breach of the 5 covenant of good faith and fair dealing. (Complaint, ¶ 22-27.) Under state law, Plaintiff can therefore seek and potentially recover punitive and/or exemplary damages. See Century Sur. Co. v. Polisso, 139 Cal. App. 4th 922, 939 (2006). 8 Plaintiff specifically seeks punitive and exemplary damages through her Complaint. (Complaint, ¶27; Complaint, Prayer, p. 10.) 10
 - 14. The foregoing sums are also exclusive of the attorneys' fees that Plaintiff seeks through her Complaint. (Complaint, ¶ 26; Complaint, Prayer p. 10). See Brandt v. Superior Court, 37 Cal. 3d 813, 819, 693 P.2d 796, 800 (1985) (holding that when an insurer's conduct is unreasonable, the plaintiff may recover for all detriment proximately resulting from the alleged and established bad faith, including attorneys' fees incurred to obtain policy benefits that would not have been incurred but for the tortious conduct). Thus, these amounts may also be considered in calculating the amount in controversy.
 - 15. In light of the fact that Plaintiff is seeking, and can potentially recover, disability benefits, general damages, punitive damages, and attorneys' fees in excess of \$75,000, the amount in controversy in this case, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the jurisdictional requirement of this Court.

IV. PROCESS.

16. On April 9, 2010, a copy of this Notice was caused to be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles.

11

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	WHEREFORE,	Combined prays that the above action pending in the
2	Superior Court of the S	tate of California for the County of Los Angeles be removed
3	from that court to this C	Court.
4		
5 6	Dated: April 9, 2010	MESERVE, MUMPER & HUGHES LLP LINDA M. LAWSON ALLISON VANA
7	·	By: Ollin Vana
8		Allison Vana
9		Attorneys for Defendant COMBINED INSURANCE
10		COMPANY
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
24		
2526		
27		
28		
20		

LAW OFFICES MESERVE, MUMPER & HUGHES LLP

Case 2:10-cv-02590-SJO ₂ PJ	W Document 1 Filed 0	04/09/10 Page 8 of 52 Page ID #:8
1		2-11-10
Mar-16-2016 12:46pm From-ROSERT K	SCOTT APC \$40	7534049 7-10 T-715 P.002/104 F-508
43/24161775	"WONS	CONTRADICATION
NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO): COMBINED INSURANCE COMPAN	with or inventor in the	OF SECURIORAL STREETS Los Angeles Superior Court MAR 09 2010
corporation; and DOES 1 t	through 30, inclusive,	
YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF		John A. Placke, Expositive Officer/Clerk By Deputy
JEANNIE ROBLES page judiu	DANTE): nert,	SCHOIRT OWNER
below. You have 30 CALENDAR GAYB after this aux arread on the plaintin. A letter or phone call will a case. There may be a caust form that you can us Costo. There may be a caust form that you can us Ordina Salf-hist Center (where control.c.) grows the court clerk for a few velver form. If you do not pusy be telean without further warning from the set. There are offer legal requirements. You may reform temperate by you select offers an allowing, that is necessful proup to it in a Cartifordia Legal Centers encarried because it in a Cartifordia Legal Centers encarried because it in a by constructing velocity on any selferment or artification around a figure on a property of the configuration of the property of the secret of place of the case of participation of the secret of the se	minions and lagal papers are xerved on you took promit you. Your written responds must be for your response. You so first these could help your sounty law library, or the count it lies your response on lime, you may lose it lies your response on lime, you may lose it lies. You response on lime, you may lose it lies. Who side in attorney right many. If you do you may be eligible for fee legal services frances who side found on a substitution of your local court or earnly her assessable. It is good an according to the court of the cou	IOTE: The count has a statutory lies for warred feet and in exist be paid before the count will cliented the exist. central site exists. central site exists are warrion, the lie beforeacide a country site exists are warrion for except of a costs no to proleger. Su mappearer por except tions que extar iformulario que united pueda pour para su resputation for contest of process de Cellonia terre su exception de la costa a socia de processación, pide at exceptación de la costa la sucia de processa de ceso per insumplimiento y la costa la lina conce a un aboqueto, pueda farmer e un arrivid de utilizar para common servicios registes gentulos de en un core en el altio verb de Cellonia Lagar Services, acaspor e parallellos en tomación con la costa e di las maters assentos por limponer on gravenson sobre salles de arbitrajo se un caso de durecto civil. Tions que
The means and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte as):	, ==	BC431118 D-23
IOS AMGELES SUPERIOR COUR 111 NORTH HILL STREET LOS ANGELES, CA 90012	*	
The name, address, and telephone number of (El nombre, le direction y el número de teléfo	ono del abogado del demandante, a del	un elletiny, is: I demundanie que no liene abogado, +s);
ROBERT K. SCOTT, SBN: 674 111 PACIFICA, SUITE 240	Stoke A. Clarks	•
IRVINE, CA 92618		Decety
(Fochs) MAR 0 9 2010 (Foch proof of service of this summers, use Fo	(Secretarie) (Secretaries of Business (form POB	(Adjust)
(Sec. 6)	O THE PERSON SERVER YOU MAD SA on included defendant.	
2 🗆 🗷	the betson supturder the follows as	
	LBINED INCURANCE C	
under:	CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 418.20 (defunct corporation or pa other (specify):	
4. 🗆 by	personal delivery on (date);	Fagus 1 of 1
FOR ASSESSED IN PROPERTY AND STREET STREET	SUMMONS	Gage of Cod Processon \$5 = 12.10, 465 panes acceptations from

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

28

ROBERT K. SCOTT, ESQ. SBN 67466 JOEL S. POREMBA, ESQ. SBN 195722 LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT K. SCOTT, APC 111 Pacifica, Ste 240 Irvine, California 92618 Telephone: (949)753-4950 Facsimile: (949) 753-4949 Attorneys for Plaintiff, JEANNIE ROBLES

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

JEANNIE ROBLES, an individual,

VS.

COMPLAINT

Case No.

BC481118

Plaintiff,

1. BREACH OF CONTRACT;

COMBINED INSURANCE COMPANY, a corporation; and DOES 1 through 30,

inclusive.

2. BREACH OF THE DUTY OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING

Defendants.

JURY DEMAND

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- Plaintiff, JEANNIE ROBLES, (hereinafter "ROBLES") is, and at all times herein 1. mentioned was, a resident and citizen of the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- Defendant, COMBINED INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA, (hereinafter "COMBINED"), is, and at all relevant times herein, was, a corporation who was and gemains, authorized to transact, and was in fact transacting, the business of insurance in the State California. FROMEDENCES III93-5% (V) 111 27

3

٠6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

3_ The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, of Defendants Doe 1 through Doe 30, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of Defendants designated herein as a Doe is legally responsible in some manner for the events and happenings referred to herein and legally caused injury and damages proximately thereby to Plaintiff. Plaintiff will seek leave of this Court to amend this Complaint to insert their true names and capacities in place and instead of the fictitious names when they become known to her.

- At all times herein mentioned, unless otherwise indicated, Defendants were the agents and employees of each of the remaining Defendants, and were at all times acting within the purpose and scope of said agency and employment, and each Defendant has ratified and approved the acts of his agent.
- 5. At the time the Policy was sold to ROBLES, COMBINED was, and at all relevant times remain, corporations authorized to transact, and were in fact transacting, the business of insurance in the State of California. COMBINED was, is, and continues to be, subject to the common law, statutory laws, codes, regulations and bulletins of California with respect to all aspects of the disability insurance provided to ROBLES and all other California insureds. Thus, California law governed, and continues to govern, the marketing of ROBLES' disability Policy, the application process preceding the issuance of her Policy, the content of her Policy, and, most importantly, COMBINED'S claims practices as applied to California claims in general as well as specifically COMBINED'S handling of ROBLES' disability insurance claim.
- 6. On or about March 17, 2008, ROBLES applied for an individual guaranteed long term disability insurance policy. The policy was then issued by COMBINED to ROBLES as an individual and became Policy No. W0610064, (hereinafter the "Policy"). The Policy was

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

2б

28

effective on May 29, 2008. On the application for coverage, ROBLES listed her occupation as a manager of cargo that is taken on and off of shipping vessels for the Pacific Maritime Association, Local 63; essentially, a longshore-person. As an union employee whose duties were in cargo shipping operations management, ROBLES'S employment focus at the time of application was specifically on loading docks at San Pedro and Long Beach, California port(s). The Policy promised to pay ROBLES disability benefits to age 65 should she become totally disabled (as defined by California law) and unable to perform the substantial and material duties of her occupation in the usual and customary way with reasonable continuity given her station in life. ROBLES was 53 years of age when she became totally disabled in May, 2009. The Policy promises to pay ROBLES a monthly benefit of \$917 for total disability. A true and correct copy of the Policy is attached herein as Exhibit 1.

7. The Policy, Exhibit 1, defines "Injury" as follows:

> "means bodily injury due solely to an accident independent of all other causes. The accident must occur while the policy is in force."

The Policy, Exhibit 1, defines "Sickness" as follows:

"means illness or disease, including complications of pregnancy."

The Policy, Exhibit 1, defines "Total disabled" or "total disability" as follows:

"means the inability to perform all the substantial and material duties of the Insured's occupation. Total disability must begin while the policy is in force."

8. The Policy was entered into by ROBLES within the jurisdiction of the aboveentitled Court and required the payment of benefits within the jurisdiction of the above-entitled Court for a total amount to be shown at the time of trial. The Policy at all times since its inception has remained in force. All premiums due under the Policy have been paid, and all conditions on the part of ROBLES to be performed have been performed by her.

3

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

26 27

28

- 10. In or about May, 2009, ROBLES became totally disabled due to an injury to her toe after dislocation. Her last day of work was May 27, 2009. She had surgery in June, 2009 on the foot/toe and filed a claim for total disability on May 28, 2009. ROBLES has not worked since May 27, 2009 and is looking at more surgery on the same foot/toe and has treated with orthopaedic surgeons at the Kerlan-Jobe Orthopaedic Clinic in Los Angeles. On or about May 28, 2009, ROBLES telephoned COMBINED'S claims department, reported her disability claim and requested claim form(s). A few days later, on or about June 1, 2009, before she even received COMBINED'S claim form(s), filled out or submitted the paper-claim with COMBINED, the company denied the claim in a letter. After ROBLES eventually received the claim form(s) from COMBINED, she formally submitted them regardless. However, on or about November 7, 2009, ROBLES received a letter from COMBINED dated October 16, 2009 which denied the claim and (attempted) to rescind the subject Policy. A true and correct copy of the denial letter is attached herein as Exhibit 2.
- 11. In its denial letter, COMBINED erroneously and embarrassingly appeared to base its claims decision about ROBLES' dislocated toe and resulting disability on the fact ROBLES had four or six chiropractic/therapeutic sessions with another doctor for a minor sore shoulder before applying for the subject Policy. It also appears to have based its (attempted) rescission of the Policy on the same unrelated medical point. ROBLES' sore shoulder was from sleeping on it one night in July, 2007 which was simply dealt with half-dozen chiropractic treatments. Given the vague and ambiguous application questions, there was nowhere to have indicated a minor sore shoulder, it is inapplicable, irrelevant and insignificant. Instead, in its denial letter,

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

28

COMBINED states that ROBLES failed to disclose "should pain and soreness" from July and August, 2007 on her March 17, 2008 disability application. Desperate to avoid paying a legitimate disability claim, COMBINED now finds itself relying on inapplicable medical matters of no significance, wholly unrelated to a dislocated toe, surgery on it and the prospect of future surgery(ies) on her troubled toe. In fact, COMBINED goes so far as to say that if it had known about ROBLES waking up one morning with a sore shoulder which was completely resolved over a year before applying for the subject Policy, that it would not have insured ROBLES. Instead, COMBINED delayed a claims decision for over four months and even after ROBLES authorized it to obtain her medical records, including these from her chiropractor regarding the sore shoulder. The company inexplicably took four months to deny her claim without merit and in the face of objective medical evidence concerning her bad toe.

- The Policy at all times since its inception remained in force since at least 2008 at which time due to her disability, ROBLES was not able to work at her usual occupation and undertake the material and substantial duties of her job as a longshore-person with reasonable continuity. All premiums due under the Policy have been paid, up and until ROBLES became disabled in May, 2009, and all conditions on the part of ROBLES to be performed have been performed by her. Premium payments were paid by ROBLES to November, 2009, however COMBINED took the November, 2009 premium after it cancelled the Policy.
- 13. Under the terms of the Policy and applicable law, ROBLES has furnished all necessary medical records and information in the form(s) required by COMBINED.

HI

111

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

BREACH OF CONTRACT

(Against Defendants, Combined Insurance Company of America and Does 1 though 15)

- 14. ROBLES hereby incorporates each and every paragraph of the General Allegations as though fully set forth in this Cause of Action.
- 15. At all relevant times, ROBLES was insured by the Defendants under the guaranteed individual disability Policy described above in Paragraph 6 which was in full force and effect at all relevant times and which promised to pay Policy benefits in the event of ROBLES' inability to engage in her occupation with any reasonable continuity. Exhibit 1. ROBLES has performed all of the duties on her part to be performed including the payment of premiums.
- 16. In or about late May, 2009, ROBLES made a claim for total disability benefits to COMBINED. Since that time, ROBLES lost the ability to be able to engage in any work, full or part-time, and COMBINED allegedly investigated the claim although from its letter about a "sore shoulder." On or about October 16, 2009, COMBINED wrote to ROBLES and terminated benefits while erroneously maintaining that ROBLES could work fulltime and was not totally disabled. It then (attempted) to rescind the subject Policy and in so doing, breached the Policy without merit. Exhibit 2.
- ROBLES submitted her claim(s) for disability insurance benefits to COMBINED 17. in accordance with the terms of the Policy and applicable law and promptly furnished proof of loss under the Policy in the form requested by COMBINED.
- 18. Despite the express promise set forth in the Policy to pay claims immediately upon receipt of Proof of Loss, COMBINED failed to pay ROBLES' claim, in full, to date. COMBINED wrongfully failed to pay the claim, in full for total disability, and has continued to

III

*;

do so since May, 2009. Since that time, COMBINED has refused without proper cause to pay the deserved and appropriate benefits due under the Policy and caused ROBLES significant consequential losses.

- 19. As a direct and proximate result of COMBINED'S breach of the subject insurance contract, ROBLES has suffered contractual damages under the Policy, plus interest, and other consequential and incidental damages and out-of-pocket expenses, all in a sum to be determined at the time of trial.
- 20. ROBLES has been compelled to retain legal counsel to obtain the benefits due to her under the Policy. Therefore, COMBINED is liable to ROBLES for those attorney fees and other costs of suit reasonably necessary and incurred by her to obtain the Policy's benefits in a sum to be determined at trial.
- 21. As a further proximate result of the aforementioned wrongful conduct of COMBINED, ROBLES has suffered, and will continue to suffer, anxiety, worry, mental and emotional distress, and other incidental damages and out of pocket expenses which at the time of issuance of the Policy was reasonably contemplated to occur if benefits were denied, all to her general damages in a sum to be determined at the time of trial. When benefits were denied, ROBLES did suffer anxiety, worry, mental and emotional distress, and other incidental damages and out of pocket expenses.

-7-

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26 27 27

28

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Breach of the Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing

(Against Defendants, Combined Insurance Company of America and Does 16 through 30)

- ROBLES hereby incorporates by reference all of the allegations set forth in the General Allegations and the First Cause of Acton as though fully set forth herein.
- 23. COMBINED has breached its duty of good faith and fair dealing owed to ROBLES in the following respects:
- Unreasonable and bad faith failure to pay Policy benefits to ROBLES at a time when COMBINED knew that ROBLES was entitled to them under the terms of the Policy;
- В. Unreasonable and bad faith withholding of payments from ROBLES knowing ROBLES' claim for benefits to be valid;
- C. Unreasonable and bad faith failure to pay ROBLES Policy benefits under ROBLES' claim when COMBINED had insufficient information within its possession to justify such failure;
- Unreasonable and bad faith misrepresenting to ROBLES pertinent facts D. and insurance Policy provisions relating to the coverage at issue;
- E. Unreasonable and bad faith failure to reasonably investigate and process ROBLES' claim for Policy benefits;
- F. Unreasonable and bad faith failure to attempt to effectuate a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of ROBLES' claim for benefits when liability had become reasonably clear;
- G. Unreasonable and bad faith misrepresentation to ROBLES of the California law applicable to her claim;

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

- Unreasonable and bad faith failure to adhere to California law in the basis for the denial of ROBLES' claim for Policy benefits;
- Unreasonable investigation of the claim in a biased fashion by looking for I. information to deny the claim while ignoring information to support the claim;
- J, Unreasonable analysis of the claim by in a biased fashion looking for ways to support the denial of the claim while ignoring the evidence that established the propriety of the claim;
- Unreasonably failing to contact ROBLES'S physicians to determine all of K. their opinions and scope; and
- Misquoting the proper California Insurance Code language and definition L. of total and partial disability as required when the insured is a California insured.
- ROBLES is informed and believes and thereon alleges that COMBINED has 24. breached its duty of good faith and fair dealing owed to ROBLES by other acts or omissions of which ROBLES is presently unaware. ROBLES will seek leave of this Court to amend this Complaint at such time as she discovers the other acts or omissions of COMBINED constituting further breach of her contract.
- As a further proximate result of the aforementioned wrongful conduct of 25. COMBINED, ROBLES has suffered, and will continue to suffer, anxiety, worry, mental and emotional distress, and other incidental damages and out-of-pocket expenses, all to ROBLES' general damages in a sum to be determined at the time of trial.
- As a further proximate result of the aforementioned wrongful business practices 26. and conduct of COMBINED, ROBLES was compelled to retain legal counsel to obtain the benefits due under the Policy. Therefore, COMBINED is liable to ROBLES for those attorney

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25 25

25 27 27

28

fees incurred by her in order to obtain the benefits under the Policy in a sum to be determined at the time of trial.

Defendant's business practices and conduct described herein were intended by Defendant to cause injury to ROBLES or were despicable conduct carried on by Defendant with a willful and conscious disregard of the rights of ROBLES, subjecting ROBLES to cruel and unjust hardship in conscious disregard of her rights, and were intentional misrepresentations, deceit or concealment of material facts known to Defendant with the intent to deprive ROBLES of property, legal rights, or to otherwise cause injury, such as to constitute malice, oppression, or fraud under California Civil Code §3294, thereby entitling ROBLES to punitive damages in an amount appropriate to punish or set an example of Defendant.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, JEANNIE ROBLES, prays for judgment against Defendant, COMBINED INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA, as follows:

- 1. Damages for failure to provide long term care insurance benefits owed to ROBLES under the Policy, plus interest, including pre-judgment interest, in a sum to be determined at the time of trial;
- The present value of all future benefits under the Policy, damages for emotional distress and other incidental damages in a sum to be determined at the time of trial;
- 3. Punitive and exemplary damages in an amount appropriate to punish or set an example of Defendant, COMBINED, (Second Cause of Action only);
 - 4. For pre-judgment interest at the appropriate legal rate;
 - 5. For costs of suit incurred herein;
- For attorney fees damages incurred to obtain the benefits under the Policy in a 6. sum to be determined at the time of trial; and

28

2

3

4

5

б

7

7. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper. Dated: January 25, 2010 LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT K. SCOTT ROBE Attorneys for Plaintiff, JEANNIE ROBLES

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff, JEANNIE ROBLES, hereby demands a jusy trial in this action.

Dated: January 25, 2010

LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT K. SCOTT

Attorneys for Plaintiff, JEANNIE ROBLES



JEANNIE ROBLES

RE: Policy Number W0610064

Effective Date: 5/29/2008

Dear JEANNIE ROBLES:

Congratulations on your recent purchase of insurance through the *U-Select* voluntary benefit program.

Your insurance coverage is brought to you by Combined Insurance Company of America, an Ace Limited company. Combined has been providing valuable insurance products to individuals for over 80 years.

As a valued customer of Combined you have the benefits of:

- Portable coverage. This policy is yours and it stays with you even if you change employers.
- Convenient payments. Your premium payments are automatically deducted from the paycheck you receive from your current employer.
- · Prompt and accurate claim service.
- Toll free phone assistance. 1-800-544-9382.
- A quality company. Combined is rated "A (Excellent)" by A.M. Best, an independent rating agency.

Your policy is enclosed along with a copy of your application and other materials that you may need in the future. Please review your policy and application and verify that the information is correct. Also, please note that your application has been reduced so that we may easily mail it along with your policy to you. If you would like to receive a copy of your application on $8 \pm ^{\circ}$ by 14" paper, please let us know and we will be happy to send it to you.

Thank you for your business. We look forward to serving you for many years to come. Sincerely,

Shaila Precious, Vice President Worksite Services Department

R-1

P.O. Box 5573 • Chicago, Illinois 60680 • 800-544-9382 • fax 773-765-1831 • www.combined.com

A Unit of Combined Insurance Company

Please read your policy carefully. As the Insured, you have benefits and rights described in this policy.

Combined will pay the benefits described in this policy to the Insured, subject to the terms and limitations of this policy and of any riders attached to the policy. This policy is issued in consideration of the application and the payment of the first premium.

RENEWABILITY

Combined guarantees that it will renew this policy until your 65th birthday. Thereafter the Insured may renew the policy on each anniversary until the first premium due date after the Insured's 70th birthday or until receipt of retirement benefits under the Social Security Act of the U.S. (or any replacement act), whichever is earlier.

To renew the policy, the premium then in effect must be paid on or before the due date or within the grace period. Combined reserves the right to change the premium. Any change in the premium will be made on all policies issued to persons of the same rating class in the Insured's state of residence. Combined will notify the Insured in writing, at his or her last address of record, of a change at least 30 days before the date at which it is to become effective.

30 DAY RIGHT TO EXAMINE POLICY

The Insured may return this policy to Combined's Home Office within 30 days after the policy is issued. Combined will refund any premium paid and the policy will be considered void from the beginning.

For Combined Insurance Company of America

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer Secretary



Combined Insurance Company of America
A Legal Reserve Stock Corporation
(herein called Combined)

Home Office: 5050 Broadway • Chicago, Illinois 60540 1-800-544-9382 Policyholder Service Address P. O. Box 5573 • Chicago, Illinois 60680

> GUARANTEED RENEWABLE DISABILITY INCOME POLICY

INDEX TO YOUR POLICY

	Page		Page
Benefit - Total Disability	4	Pre-Existing Conditions Limitation	5
Benefit - Partial Recovery	4	Recurrent Disability	5
Claim Information	6-7	Schedule	3
Definitions	4	Time Limit on Certain Defenses	5
Exceptions	5	Waiver of Premium	5
Grace Period	.6		٠.

SCHEDULE

FORM NUMBER

			<u>Premium</u>
19841	MONTHLY BENEFIT AMOUNT	·	
	Off-the-job accident	\$917	\$118.11
	On-the-job accident	\$3,150	\$291.38
•	Sickness	\$917	\$573.13
•	ELIMINATION PERIOD		+ 3
	Accident	1.4 days	•
		14 days	•
	Sickness	14 days	,
	MAXIMUM BENEFIT PERIOD	12 months	

TOTAL ANNUAL PREMIUM

\$982.62

RENEWAL PREMIUM:

ANNUAL \$982.62

SEMIANNUAL \$491.32 MONTHLY APC \$81.88 Monthly \$81.88

INSURED: JEANNIE ROBLES

AGE: 52

POLICY NO: W0610064

OCCUPATIONAL CLASS: Standard

ISSUE DATE: 05/29/2008

SCHEDULE EFFECTIVE DATE: 05/29/2008

DEFINITIONS

In this policy:

"Coverage" means a benefit for an off-the-job accident, an on-the-job accident or a sickness.

"Elimination period" means the number of consecutive days the insured must be totally disabled before benefits begin. The elimination period is shown on the schedule.

"Injury" means bodily injury due solely to an accident independent of all other causes. The accident must occur while the policy is in force.

"Maximum benefit period" means the total period for which monthly benefits are payable as a result of total disability. The maximum benefit period is shown on the schedule.

"Off-the-job accident" means an accident which occurs while you are not working at any job for pay or profit.

"On-the-job accident" means an accident which occurs while you are working at any job for pay or profit,

"Physician" means any licensed practitioner of the healing arts acting within the scope of his or her license who is not related to the insured by blood or mamage.

"Sickness" means illness or disease, including complications of pregnancy.

"Totally disabled" or "total disability" means the inability to perform all the substantial and material duties of the Insured's occupation. Total disability must begin while the policy is in force.

TOTAL DISABILITY BENEFIT

While the Insured is totally disabled due to a covered sickness or injury from a covered accident and under the regular care of a physician, Combined will pay a monthly benefit. Depending on the coverage selected on the application, a monthly benefit amount may be paid for an off-the-job accident, an on-the-job accident or a sickness. The monthly benefit for each coverage is shown on the schedule. Benefits will begin after the elimination period and are payable up to the maximum benefit period.

PARTIAL RECOVERY BENEFIT

If within 30 days following a period of total disability for which benefits are payable, the Insured returns to work and is earning less than 75% of his or her pre-disability income, Combined will pay half the monthly benefit otherwise payable for each month in which these conditions are met, for up to 3 months or for the remainder of the maximum benefit period, whichever is less.

WAIVER OF PREMIUM

Combined will waive the payment of any premium falling due after 14 days of total disability during covered total disability while benefits are being paid under this policy.

PRE-EXISTING CONDITIONS LIMITATION

A pre-existing condition is a medical condition not disclosed on the application for which:

- (1) Medical advice or treatment was recommended by, or received from, a physician within the 12 month period before the issue date; or
- (2) Symptoms existed within the 12 month period before the issue date which would cause an ordinarily prudent person to seek diagnosis, care or treatment.

A pre-existing condition is not covered unless the total disability caused by the condition begins more than two years after the issue date.

RECURRENT DISABILITY

Successive periods of total disability will be considered one period of total disability unless such periods are separated by at least 180 consecutive days or the disabilities resulted from different or unrelated injuries or sicknesses.

EXCEPTIONS

This policy will not pay for total disability resulting from: (1) intentionally self-inflicted injury; (2) normal pregnancy or childbirth; (3) cosmetic surgery or other elective procedures which are not medically necessary; or (4) mental illness without demonstrable organic cause.

UNIFORM PROVISIONS

(1) ENTIRE CONTRACT: This policy with the attached papers, if any, is the entire contract between the Insured and Combined. No change in this policy will be effective until approved by an officer of Combined. This approval must be noted on or attached to this policy. No agent may change this policy or waive any of its provisions.

(2) TIME LIMIT ON CERTAIN DEFENSES:

- (a) Misstatements in the Application: After two years from the issue date only fraudulent misstatements in the application may be used to void the policy or deny any claim for loss incurred or disability that starts after the two year period.
- (b) Pre-Existing Conditions: No claim for loss incurred or disability that starts after two years from the issue date will be reduced or denied because of a sickness or physical condition not excluded by name or specific description before the date of loss had existed before the issue date.

- (3) GRACE PERIOD: This policy has a 31 day grace period. This means that if a renewal premium is not paid on or before the date it is due, it may be paid during the following 31 days. During the grace period the policy will stay in force.
- (4) REINSTATEMENT: If the renewal premium is not paid before the grace period ends, the policy will lapse. Later acceptance of the premium by Combined (or by an agent authorized to accept payment) without requiring an application for reinstatement will reinstate this policy.

If Combined or its agent requires an application, the Insured will be given a conditional receipt for the premium. If the application is approved, the policy will be reinstated as of the approval date. Lacking such approval, the policy will be reinstated on the 45th day after the date of the conditional receipt unless Combined has previously written the Insured of its disapproval.

The reinstated policy will cover only loss that results from an injury sustained after the date of reinstatement or a sickness that starts more than 10 days after such date. In all other respects the rights of the insured and Combined will remain the same, subject to any provisions noted on or attached to the reinstated policy.

Any premiums Combined accepts for a reinstatement will be applied to a period for which premiums have not been paid. No premium will be applied to any period more than 60 days before the reinstatement date.

- (5) NOTICE OF CLAIM: Written notice of claim must be given within 30 days after a covered loss starts or as soon as reasonably possible. The notice can be given to Combined at its Policyholder Service Address, Chicago, Illinois or to Combined's agent. Notice should include the name of the Insured and the policy number.
- (6) CLAIM FORMS: When Combined receives the notice of claim, it will send the claimant forms for filling proof of loss. If these forms are not given to the claimant within 15 days, the claimant will meet the proof of loss requirements by giving Combined a written statement of the nature and extent of the loss within the time limits stated in the Proofs of Loss Section.
- (7) PROOFS OF LOSS: If the policy provides for periodic payment for a continuing loss, written proof of loss must be given to Combined within 90 days after the end of each period for which Combined is liable. For any other loss, written proof must be given within 90 days after such loss.

If it was not reasonably possible to give written proof in the time required, Combined shall not reduce or deny the claim for this reason if the proof is filed as soon as reasonably possible. In any event, the proof required must be given no later than 1 year from the time specified unless the claimant was legally incapacitated.

- (8) TIME OF PAYMENT OF CLAIMS: After receiving written proof of loss, Combined will pay monthly all benefits then due the Insured for disability. Benefits for any other loss covered by this policy will be paid as soon as combined receives proper written proof.
- (9) PAYMENT OF CLAIMS: Benefits will be paid to the Insured. Any benefits unpaid at the Insured's death will be paid to the Insured's estate. If any indemnity of this policy shall be payable to the estate of the Insured, or to a person who is not competent to give a valid release, Combined may pay up to an amount not exceeding \$1,000.00 to any relative by blood or connection by marriage of the Insured who is deemed by Combined to be equitably entitled thereto. Any payment made by Combined in good faith pursuant to this provision shall fully discharge combined to the extent of such payment.
- (10) PHYSICAL EXAMINATIONS: Combined at its expense has the right to have the insured examined as of the reasonably necessary while a claim is pending.
- (11) LEGAL ACTIONS: No legal action may be brought to recover on this policy within 60 days after written proof of loss has been given as required by this policy. No such action may be brought after 3 years from the time written proof of loss is required to be given.
- (12) CONFORMITY WITH STATE STATUTES: Any provision of this policy which, on its effective date, is in conflict with the laws of the state in which the Insured resides on that date is amended to conform to the minimum equirements of such laws.
- (13) MISSTATEMENT OF AGE: If the Insured's age has been misstated in the application, any amount bayable will be that which the premium paid would have purchased at the correct age. But, if the misstatement of age caused this policy to be issued to the Insured beyond the age set by Combined for issue of this policy, then combined's liability is limited to a return of all premiums paid.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

- A. This policy is issued for the term for which premium has been paid. It becomes effective on the issue late, at 12:01 A.M., Standard Time, at the place where the Insured resides. The mode of payment for the original ferm of this policy is shown in the schedule.
 - B. If payment of the initial premium is made by check or draft not honored, the policy shall be void.
- C. The Insured may cancel this policy at any time by writing Combined. Coverage will end on the date the notice is received or on a later date specified by the Insured. Combined will return any unearned premium. Cancellation will not affect any claim beginning before the date of cancellation.

COMBINED INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA Chicago, Illinois 60640

DISABILITY INCOME COVERAGE OUTLINE OF COVERAGE FOR FORM NO. 19841

Read Your Policy Carefully - This outline of coverage provides a very brief description of the important features of your policy. This is not the insurance contract and only the actual policy provisions will control. The policy itself sets forth in detail the rights and obligations of both you and your insurance company. It is, therefore, important that you READ YOUR POLICY CAREFULLY!

<u>Disability Income Coverage</u> - Policies of this category are designed to provide, to persons insured, coverage for disabilities, subject to any limitations set forth in the policy. Coverage is not provided for basic hospital, basic medical-surgical, or major medical expenses.

Benefits:

(a) Total disability - monthly benefit:

Off-the-job accident

\$917

On-the-job accident

\$3,150

Sickness

\$917

Elimination Period

Accident

14 days

Sickness

14 days

Maximum Benefit Period

12 months

(b) Partial Recovery - monthly benefit.

For partial recovery immediately following total disability for which benefits are payable, if the Insured returns to work within 30 days and is earning less than 75% of his or her pre-disability income, Combined will pay half the monthly benefit otherwise payable for each month in which these conditions are met, for up to 3 months or for the remainder of the maximum benefit period, whichever is less.

Exceptions and Reductions:

- (a) This policy will not pay for total disability resulting from:
 - (1) intentionally self-inflicted injury;
 - (2) normal pregnancy or childbirth;
 - (3) cosmetic surgery or other elective procedures which are not medically necessary; or
 - (4) mental illness without demonstrable organic cause.

- (b) For the first two years, disability caused by a pre-existing condition is not covered.
 - A "pre-existing condition" is a medical condition not disclosed on the application for which medical advice or treatment was recommended by, or received from, a physician within 12 months before the issue date or one which existed within one year before the issue date which would have caused an ordinarily prudent person to seek diagnosis or treatment.
- (c) "Totally disabled" or "total disability" means the inability to perform all the substantial and material duties of the insured's occupation.

Renewability:

- (a) This policy is guaranteed renewable until age 65. Thereafter, it is renewable until age 70 or until receipt of benefits under the Social Security Act of the U.S. (or any replacement act), whichever is earlier.
- (b) Coverage terminates on the first premium date after age 70.
- (c) The Company may change the premium if it changes the premium for all persons of the same rating class in the state.

Premiums:

Your premium is: \$81.88 Monthly

Premiums are subject to change.

•

Form No. 019841-CA

IMPORTANT INFORMATION TO POLICYHOLDERS

 In the event you need to contact someone about this policy for any reason, please contact;

> Combined Insurance Company of America Home Office 5050 North Broadway Chicago, IL 60640 1-800-544-9382

> > Policyholder Service Address P.O. Box 5573 Chicago, Illinois 60680

The Department of Insurance should be contacted only after discussions with us, our agent or other representative, or both, have failed to produce a satisfactory resolution to the problem. The address of the Department is:

Consumer Services Division
300 South Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90013
Telephone in California: 1-800-927-HELP - Outside California: (213) 897-8921

i) Form No. 102054VB-CA

Rev. 12/04

COMBINED INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA

5050 Broadway Chicago, Illinois 60640

CALIFORNIA LIFE AND HEALTH INSURANCE GUARANTEE ASSOCIATION ACT SUMMARY DOCUMENT AND DISCLAIMER

Residents of California who purchase life and health insurance and annuities should know that the insurance companies licensed in this state to write these types of insurance are members of the California Life and Health Insurance Guarantee Association ("CLHIGA"). The purpose of this Association is to assure that policyholders will be protected, within limits, in the unlikely event that a member insurer becomes financially unable to meet its obligations. If this should happen, the Guarantee Association will assess its other member insurance companies for the money to pay the claims of insured persons who live in this state and, in some cases, to keep coverage in force. The valuable extra protection provided through the Association is not unlimited, as noted in the box below, and is not a substitute for consumers' care in selecting insurers.

The California Life and Health Insurance Guarantee Association may not provide coverage for this policy. If coverage is provided, it may be subject to substantial limitations or exclusions, and require continued residency in California. You should not rely on coverage by the Association in selecting an insurance company or in selecting an insurance policy.

Coverage is NOT provided for your policy or any portion of it that is not guaranteed by the insurer or for which you have assumed the risk, such as a variable contract sold by

prospectus.

Insurance companies or their agents are required by law to give or send you this notice. However, insurance companies and their agents are prohibited by law from using the existence of the Guarantee Association to induce you to purchase any kind of insurance policy.

Policyholders with additional questions should first contact their insurer or agent or

may then contact:

California Life and Health Insurance Guarantee Association P.O. Box 16860 Beverly Hills, CA 90209-3319 (323) 782-0182 or

Consumer Services Division California Department of Insurance 300 South Spring Street Los Angeles, CA 90013 (800) 927-4357 or (213) 897-8921

Below is a brief summary of this law's coverages, exclusions and limits. This summary does not cover all provisions of the law; nor does it in any way change anyone's rights or obligations under the Act or the rights or obligations of the Association.

106019R-CA

Page 1 of 2 R. 5/02

COVERAGE

Generally, individuals will be protected by the California Life and Health Insurance Guarantee Association if they live in this state and hold a life or health Insurance contract, or an annuity, or if they are insured under a group insurance contract, issued by a member insurer. The beneficiaries, payees or assignees of insured persons are protected as well, even if they live in another state.

EXCLUSIONS FROM COVERAGE

However, persons holding such policies are not protected by this Guarantee Association if:

- Their insurer was not authorized to do business in this state when it issued the policy or contract,;
- Their policy was issued by a health care service plan (HMO), Blue Cross, Blue Shield, a charitable
 organization, a fraternal benefit society, a mandatory state pooling plan, a mutual assessment
 company, an insurance exchange, or a grants and annuities society;
- They are eligible for protection under the laws of another state. This may occur when the insolvent insurer was incorporated in another state whose guaranty association protects insureds who live outside that state.

The Guarantee Association also does not provide coverage for:

- Unallocated annuity contracts; that is, contracts which are not issued to and owned by an individual
 and which guarantee rights to group contract holders, not individuals;
- · Employer and association plans, to the extent they are self-funded or uninsured;
- Synthetic guaranteed interest contracts:
- Any policy or portion of a policy which is not guaranteed by the insurer or for which the individual has assumed the risk, such as a variable contract sold by prospectus;
- Any policy of reinsurance unless an assumption certificate was issued;
- Interest rate yields that exceed an average rate;
- · Any portion of a contract that provides dividends or experience rating credits.

LIMITS ON AMOUNTS OF COVERAGE

The Act limits the Association to pay benefits as follows:

LIFE AND ANNUITY BENEFITS

- 89% of what the life insurance company would owe under a life policy or annuity contract up to
- \$100,000 in cash surrender values.
- \$100,000 in present value of annuities, or
- \$250,000 in life insurance death benefits.
- A maximum of \$250,000 for any one insured life no matter how many policies and contracts there
 were with the same company, even if the policies provided different types of coverages.

HEALTH BENEFITS

A maximum of \$200,000 of the contractual obligations that the health insurance company would owe
were it not insolvent. The maximum may increase or decrease annually based upon changes in the
health care cost component of the consumer price index.

PREMIUM SURCHARGE

Member insurers are required to recoup assessments paid to the Association by way of a surcharge on premiums charged for health insurance policies to which the Act applies.

106019R-CA

Page 2 of 2

COMBINED IN	SURANCE CO	MY OF AMERICA			Harne O	flice: Ghica	go, Mane	ds.
	Ar	plication for Disa	bility income ins	urance Cov		•	•.	19841-GA
I am applying for this po	werage based on the lollow	ng health and employment i	nformation:	•		Application [lekt.	03/17/2008
EMPLOYEE'S (Propos	ed lisured) NAME (First	of Last)		. 655	ale Helykale:			Age
JEANNE GUPLOYEES HONE A	CORESS (Short City, St	ROBLES te ZIPI	,	E Fee	elel	1955	Nesia	52 e Phone No.
1	A	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						08324648
Sociel Security No.	EUPLOYER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Hire Date: NorDey/Yr		Employee 10 #		Gross	Annual Income
3040	PAGETO MARITIME ASS		06/05/1893		·	1	\$ 1	00,000,00
Occupation Administrative	•	BUSINESS MEPORTA	OF EMPLOYER EVENOET		Height 5	•	5 h	Weight 180 Bs
Pisese describe your joi	o de lies:	12000	- CA OIL		Occupation Class			y Eode
MANAGE CARGO TH	IAT GO ON AND OF		·		STANDARD		00	00000070
AMOUNT OF INSURAN	ICE BEING APPLIED FOR					Pi	(ENIVE)	- Mode
Off-the-Job accordant		-	\$1686.CO per ma				4.12 We	akty
On-the job sections Sistemen		•	\$3815.00 perme \$1668.00 perme				6,76 0.02	
Mardenum Benefit Peri			? (X)			1 . "		
Etimination Peded (do Extended Bynefile Rid		का 🗀 मा 🗀 नेबाद	Tes C No 18			1		
	er Region (months)	42 🖂 48 🖂	Amount	nong	i	Not Sea	ectori	
				<u></u>	Total:	\$	30.92 W	eokiy
	is so IEV (pet iron boling s ning healfs immance cov	equired or used by health water.	companies					• .
		**			•	Yes	7	W X
1. Via log surjection as	the season of the season of the	Jass Chan SC Invites perchant purplicate 2, 3, and 4 Heapt		ori leense fessir		Tes	1	WP L
2. Have you been dispr		ng AIDS (Appaired Income						·
Syndrome) or A	RC (AIDS Related Complex	ri .				Yes		Ho X
3. 14346 you missed the during the peal l	vis men a consecutive days. B months? (6 yes, elso snow	of work due to socident or s enguestion (i)	ICKNOSS			Yes		No X
4. Have you marked to	astraert in en calpationt or	mengency facility or been h	octificat			Yes		No F W
	12 months? (If year, also and along dissibility poverage?	rer quesson of	Yes X (iii m	selse/)	Monthly	Emb		Besels
		Cerrier			Benefit 75000	Period		Period
REASSURE AMERIC	A.	• *			g 10000	90		12
					<u> </u>	(c		
Arramet spacetion & If y le anavened to questio		sing hos one shipping to	r proceeds 48% of your go	ore monthly east	ings, or il "Yes"			
12.0	- Table 1	plion medication for any be	ar condition, cancer, skoke	OF STIV	·			,
		ı, colds, or routine physical:				Yes	X.	No z
Of "Yes" is ans	world to meeting 6 and	in di Page 2. In any case	piese provide informati	ion on your obes	cist.)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 		
		je ot eny existiny Olsability				1/		.i. l. X
If he waste improvious final t	on soulout the availables of	mililly blindinkerante or o	minerana result risuss an ali	howica volid clain	to be desired. Pi	Yeş i esso		. SIG \$1.51
check he application co	raindly and advisa your agai	t i any information is not co not take effect unless and	meet or not complete or it s	ny medical history	has act byen inc	uded.		•
(understand that any (my application, the co	muneoca applied for will in object is luxued, and the m	driven faetnym je tetnya minges elleci Mjese sud	nd ph Compiled justices of ph Compiled justices	s Company of Air a Company of Air	Milica Milica Miblemas			· ' .
	rage, I represent and effice:	*-		, ,	•			
1. The Informati	on which I have given as re	coded on this Application le	tuic tria complete to the p	est of my				
knowledge a		. ,	trianne the matter weeker the	. Cuir Provide				
Reporting Ac	t, and Motice of Information	lureso (MB) Olectraure Str Practices	BOLESHIK' RAG EİVINIYA MESTEL AL	E I DE CHOOK			•	
	es receipt of the Outline of C							
4. i will only rep	eive the benefit(s) selected	on this application.						
X Signature on Re		On f	ie Or	The .	•,			
	turn of Proposed Insured	Cây	Si			Ozle:	03/11/20	68
, the authorized agent,	have on the Date of Applica	ion recipied the logaristic ly existing insurance. I hav	n as given to me by the Pro	posed insured				- ,
		ny montron kaominina. I may Iony mohitecontrop con this Ap			-			
					Code # FRG	Ď.		
Signature of Licensed A	SOIT AND COMMAN AND SEC.				LANE 3			
			-		-			
70mm No. 182051-CA		•	,					
79			•					
7								
* * *	• •							
The second second second second			-					

COMBINED INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA

Home Office: Chicago, Illinois

Application for Disability Income insurance Coverage - Page 2

FORM# 19841 CA

PLEASE READ CAREFULLY

HEALTH & MEDICATIONS INFORMATION :

. Health Condition & Medi	cation	Date	Surgery?	Receiving Trustment?	Physician's Name and Address (street, city, state)
THYROLD	583	01/01/1987	N ·	Ý.	DR. NEWSAT'. 1368W THISTREET
	*				ŞAMPEDRÖ CA 90792
					(3)0538-1622

CONFIDENTIALITY OF MEDICAL INFORMATION

The information disclosed on this application will not be disclosed to the employer or any other person without the ambiguitation of the applicant.

AUTHORIZATION TO RELEASE INFORMATION

I authorize Combined Insurance Company of America or its reinsurers to acquire from and authorize any hospital practical and an authorize any information regarding me for the purpose of evaluating this application for insurance.

This authorization shall remain velicifier a period of two years from the issue date of this policy. A photocopy of this authorization will be as valid as the original. A copy of the authorization is available upon request to the Company.

Any person who knowingly and with intent to defined any insurance company or other person files an application for insurance or statisticing, or other person files an application for insurance or statisticing, information concerning any techniques in the purpose of intellecting, information concerning any techniques in the purpose of intellecting information concerning any techniques in the property of the prop

X Signature on the Signature of Proposed Insur	ed	City;	On tile	State;	On Re	Date: 03/1/2008
:	EANNE	(Print)	ROSLES	Social Security	/ # 304	0

Form No. 192051-CA

PHINDIPPENDING

Workstie Solutions

A Discisson of Constitued Insurance Company
P.O. Box 5573 • Chicago, Illinois 60680 • Phone: 1-800-544-9382 • Fax: 773-765-1850

Octaber 16, 2009

JEANNIE ROBLES

CLAIM 71028665 POLICY W0610064 FORM 19841

Dear Mrs. Robles:

We have considered your claim filed under the above captioned policy.

If you will kindly refer to the copy of the application for your policy which was completed on March 17,2088 and signed by you, you will note that you stated "Yes" in answering question 6 "Have you had any advice, treatment, or taken any prescription medication for any heart condition, cancer, stroke, or any other defect, excluding flu, colds, or routine physicals in the last 5 years?". However, you only disclosed your thyroid condition.

According to the medical information obtained you received treatment for shoulder pain and soreness on 7/23/07, 7/25/07, 8/1/07 and 8/8/07.

An insurance policy such as the above is issued on the basis of the information given on the application. Had your actual medical history been known, we would have been unable to issue this coverage to you. As it appears that complete information was not given in the application, we do not consider that your policy has been in effect from the date of issue and we do not consider ourselves liable for the claim you have filed. Under such circumstances, we can not retain the premium you paid so you shall shortly receive a check representing a refund of all premium.

tal

We regret our inability to be of service to you at this time, but if you feel we have not been given a proper understanding of your claim, we shall be glad to consider any added information you may wish to submit. Also, if you have any questions or concerns regarding how your claim was handled, you may have the matter reviewed by the California Department of Insurance, claims Service Bureau, 11th floor, 300 South Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90013. Their telephone number is 1-800-927-4357 or 213-897-8921.

The company reserves all of its rights and defenses whether or not expressly stated in this letter.

Yours sincerely, -COMBINED INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA

Claim Department Irasema Degracia/vh

		CM-010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Mante, ST) ROBERT K. SCOTT, ESQ. LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT 111 PACIFICA, SUITE 24 IRVINE, CA 92618 TELEPHONE NO.: 949-753-495 ATTORNEY FOR (Mante): PLAINTIFF, SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF STREET ADDRESS: 111 N. HILL S MAILING ADDRESS: SAME CITY AND ZIP CODE: LOS ANGELES, BRANCH NAME: CENTRAL DISTF CASE NAME: ROBLES V. COME ET, AL.	K. SCOTT, A.P.C. O FAX NO: 949-753-4949 JEANNIE ROBLES LOS ANGELES TREET CA 90012 ICT	FILED SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES FEB 0 2 2010 John A. Clarke/Executive Officer/Clark By AMBERICATION CASE NUMBER:
Unlimited Limited (Amount (Amount demanded demanded is exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	Counter Joinder Filed with first appearance by defendant (Cat. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	BC431118 JUDGE: DEPT:
Items 1 1. Check one box below for the case type Auto Tort Auto (22). Uninsured motorist (48) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage(Wrongful Death) Tort Asbestos (04) Product liability (24). Medical malpractice (45) Other PI/PD/WD (0ther) Tort. Business tortvinfair business practice Civil rights (08) Defamation (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment Wrongful termination (36) Other employment (15)	Contract Breach of contract/warranty (06) Rule 3.740 collections (09) Other collections (09). Insurance coverage (18) Other contract (37) Real Property Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14) Virongful eviction (33) Other mail property (26)	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3,400-3,403) Antitrust/Trade regulation (03) Construction defect (10) Mass tort (40) Securities litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic fort (30) Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case types (41) Enforcement of Judgment Enforcement of Judgment (20) Miscellaneous Civil Complaint RICO (27) Other complaint (not specified above) (42) Miscellaneous Civil Petition Partnership and corporate governance (21) Other petition (not specified above) (43)
2. This case is is X is not factors requiring exceptional judicial ma. Large number of separately reb. Extensive motion practice rais issues that will be time-consument. Substantial emount of documents. Remedies sought (check all that apply the case is X is not X is no	anagement: presented parties d. Large number ing difficult or novel ining to resolve intary evidence intary evidence intary evidence intary evidence in other count in othe	with related actions pending in one or more courts ties, states, or countries, or in a federal court ostjudgment judicial supervision claratory or injunctive relief c. punitive
under the Probate Code, Family Code in sanctions. File this cover sheet in addition to any if this case is complex under rule 3.4 other parties to the action or proceed.	cover sheet required by local court rule. On et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you	u must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all set will be used for statistical purposes only.
Four Adorded for Managatory Lies	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Page 1 of 2 Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3.220, 3.400-3.403, 3.740-

SHORT TITLE:	/ CASE NUMBER	BC481118
ROBLES v. COMBINED INSURANCE SERVICES, ET. AL.		B C 4 6 4 7 1 4 0

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This form is required pursuant to LASC Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.
Item I. Check the types of hearing and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case:
JURY TRIAL? YES CLASS ACTION? TYES LIMITED CASE? THE ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL 5-7 THOURS YED DAYS
Item II. Select the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps - If you checked "Limited Case", skip to Item III, Pg. 4):
Step 1: After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet Form, find the main civil case cover sheet heading for your case in
the left margin below, and, to the right in Column A, the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected.
Step 2: Check one Superior Court type of action in Column B below which best describes the nature of this case.
Step 3: In Column C, circle the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action you have checked.

Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (see Column C below)

Class Actions must be filed in the County Courthouse, Central District.
 May be filed in Central (Other county, or no Bodily Injury/Property Damage).
 Location where cause of action arcse.
 Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred.
 Location where performance required or defendant resides.

For any exception to the court location, see Los Angeles Superior Court Local Rule 2.0.

- Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle.
 Location where petitioner resides.
 Location where the condendative spondent functions wholly.
 Location where one or more of the parties reside.
 Location of Labor Commissioner Office.

Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration

. "	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No,	Type of Action	B (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Auto Tort	Auto (22)	[.] A7100	Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
Ą	Uninsured Motorist (46)	☐ A7110	Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death - Uninsured Motorist	1,, 2,, 4.
or ty	Asbestos (04)		Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2. 2.
Prope	Product Liability (24)	☐ A7260	Product Liability (not aspestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
al Injury Ingful De	Medical Malpractice (45)		Madical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4.
Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Tort	Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	☐ A7230 assauft ☐ A7270	Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fail) Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., , vandalism, etc.) Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2, 4. 1., 2, 4. 1., 2, 3. 1., 2, 4.
erty. 1 Tort	Business Tori (07)	□ A6029	Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 2., 3.
y/Prog Deati	Civil Rights (08)	☐ A6005	Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2., 3.
l İnjur ngful	Defamation (13)	☐ A6010	Defamation (stander/libel)	1., 2., 3.
Non-Personal injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Tort	Fraud (16)	☐ A6013	Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3.
Non				

LACIV 109 (Rev. 01/07) LASC Approved 03-04

> CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

LASC, rule 2.0 Page 1 of 4

CASE NUMBER

	ROBLES V. COMBINE	INSURANCE S	SERVICES, ET. AL.	
Cont'd,}	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.		B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Judicial Raview (Cont'd.)	Writ of Mandate (02)	☐ A6152	Will - Administrative Mandamus Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matte Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2, 8. 2. 2.
Jud.	Other Judicial Review (39)	☐ A6150	Other Writ /Judicial Review	2., 8.
	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	□ A6003	Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
x eld	Construction Defect (10)	□ A6007	Construction defect	1., 2., 3.
Provisionally Complex Liftgation	Claims involving Mass Tort (40)	□ A6006	Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
onally Co Litigation	Securities Litigation (28)	□ A6035	Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
rovisl	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	[] ⁻ A6036	Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
11.	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	☐ A6014	Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex cas	e only) 1., 2., 5., 8.
* *	Enforcement		Sister State Judgment Abstract of Judgment	2., 9. 2., 6.
Enforcement of Judgment	of Judgment		Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relation	
o b	(20)		Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes	128.
info M			Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Un	peid Tex 2., 5,
ш		LJ AOT12	Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2., 8., 9.
2	RICO (27)	☐ A6033	Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
Miscellaneous Civil Complaints	Offier Complaints (Not Specified Aboye) (42)	☐ A6040 ☐ A6011	Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassmeni Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/no Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	. [20,0)
	Partnership Corporation Governance(21)	☐ A6113	Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
Petitions		□ A6123	Civil Harassment Workplace Harassment Fider(Dependent Adult Abura Cons	2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9.

LACIV 109 (Rev. 01/07) LASC Approved 03-04

Other Petitions (Not Specified Above)

Wiscellangous Civil Petitions

SHORT TITLE:

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

☐ Å6124 Elder/Dependent Ädult Abuşe Case

☐ A8170 Petition for Relief from Late Clalm Law

☐ A6110 Petition for Change of Name

☐ A6190 Election Contest

A6100 Other Civil Petition

LASC, rule 2,0 Page 3 of 4

2., 3,, 9.

2.

2.,7.

2., 9.

2., 3., 4., 8.

	·
عدد در المعدد بالمعاوم والمعاوم و	particular transfer and the second second second second second second second second second second second second
SHORT TITLE	CASE NUMBER
ROBLES V. COMBINED INSURANCE SERVICES, ET. AL.	1
	<u> </u>

Item III. Statement of Location: Enter the address of the accident, party's residence or place of business, performance, or other circumstance indicated in Item II., Step 3 on Page 1, as the proper reason for filling in the court location you selected.

REASON: CHECK THE NUMBER OF TH	S IN THIS CA	SE	ADDRESS: JEANNIE ROBLES 2327 W. 37TH STREET	
CITY: SAN PRORO	STATE: CA	ZIP. CODE: 90 732		

them IV. Declaration of Assignment: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the SUPERIOR courthouse in the CENTRAL District of the Los Angeles Superior Court (Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and LASC Local Rule 2.0, subds. (b), (c) and (d)).

Dated: FEBRUARY 1, 2010

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- Civil Case Cover Sheet form CM-010.
- Complete Addendum to Civil Case Cover Sheet form LACIV 109 (Rev. 01/07), LASC Approved 03-04.
- Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- Signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, JC form FL-935, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age, or if required by Court.
- Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

2727

LACIV 109 (Rev. 01/07) LASC Approved 03-04 CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION LASC, rule 2.0 Page 4 of 4

CONFORMED COPY OF ORIGINAL FILED os Angeles Superior Court Linda M. Lawson (Bar No. 77130) LLawson@mmhllp.com Allison Vana (Bar No. 228282) APR 07 2010 AVana@mmhllp.com MESERVE, MUMPER & HUGHES LLP -3 John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk 300 South Grand Avenue, 24th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071-3185 Telephone: (213) 620-0300 Facsimile: (213) 625-1930 Attorneys for Defendant 6 COMBINED INSURANCE COMPANY 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 9 10 Case No. BC 431118 JEANNIE ROBLES, an individual, 11 DEFENDANT COMBINED INSURANCE Plaintiff. 12 COMPANY'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT VS. 13 Complaint Filed: February 2, 2010 COMBINED INSURANCE COMPANY, a corporation; and DOES 1 through 30, inclusive. 15 Defendant. 16 17 Defendant COMBINED INSURANCE COMPANY ("Combined") hereby answers 18 the unverified complaint ("Complaint") of Plaintiff JEANNIE ROBLES ("Plaintiff") as 19 follows: 20 GENERAL DENIAL 21 Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 431.30(d), Combined 1. 22 denies generally and specifically each and every allegation in Plaintiff's unverified Complaint, and the whole thereof, and further denies that Plaintiff sustained or will sustain 24 any damages in the amount alleged or is entitled to the requested relief, by reason of any 25 act, breach or omission of Combined or any of its agents, representatives, and/or 26 employees. 27 28 MESERVE, MUMPER & HUGHES LLP Exhibit B 000041 DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT 109786 1

LAW OFFICES

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 $\cdot 20$

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Combined alleges that the Complaint, and each purported cause of action 2. contained therein, fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a valid cause of action.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Combined alleges that Plaintiff's breach of the covenant of good faith and 3. fair dealing cause of action fails on the grounds that any and all actions taken by Combined were fair, reasonable, and in good faith, and therefore subject to a bona fide dispute, and a genuine issue of liability exists.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Combined alleges that Plaintiff's cause of action based upon breach of 4. contract is barred, in whole or in part, in that Combined substantially and/or fully performed all of its duties and obligations, if any, arising out of any contract(s) with Plaintiff.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Combined alleges that, on or about March 17, 2008, Plaintiff applied to 5. Combined for disability income insurance coverage. As a part of said application, Plaintiff was asked, "Have you had any treatment or taken any prescription medication for any heart condition, cancer, stroke, or any other sickness, injury, or defect, excluding flu, colds, or routine physicals in the last 5 years?". Plaintiff answered "yes," and disclosed information relating only to a minor medical condition from 1987. Plaintiff disclosed no other health condition. Plaintiff further stated and represented in writing, among other things, that the information which she provided in the application was true and complete to the best of her knowledge and belief.

- 7. Each of the statements and representations contained in the application and hereinabove more specifically set forth in paragraph 5 were made by Plaintiff for the purpose of inducing Combined to rely thereon and to issue and deliver the Policy to Plaintiff. Combined did, in fact, rely upon such statements and representations and upon each of them in issuing and delivering the Policy to Plaintiff. Each of the statements and representations was material to the risk undertaken by Combined in issuing and delivering the Policy, in that Combined would not have issued or delivered the Policy had it known said statements and representations were false, incomplete or untrue.
- 8. Combined is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that the statements and representations contained in the application and hereinabove more specifically set forth in paragraph 5 were false, incomplete and untrue and were known by Plaintiff to be false, incomplete and untrue at the time of making said statements and representations in that, among other things: (a) Plaintiff received, within five years prior to the application, treatment related to two other significant medical conditions which she failed to disclose in the application; and (b) all of the information which Plaintiff provided in the application was not true and complete to the best of her knowledge and belief.
- 9. By reason of the falsity and untruth of the statements and representations and the concealment of material facts, Combined has and hereby does rescind the Policy. Combined has previously tendered to Plaintiff all premiums paid on account of the Policy.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

- 10. Combined incorporates paragraphs 5 through 9 of its Fourth Affirmative Defense, above, by reference, as if set forth herein in their entirety.
- 11. Combined is informed and believes and thereon alleges that in the application, and in making the representations hereinabove referred to, and in accepting

delivery of the Policy, Plaintiff concealed and neglected to communicate facts within her knowledge which she was required to disclose, and which were material to the risk to be insured against and to the issuance and delivery of the Policy, in that, among other things:

(a) Plaintiff received, within five years prior to the application, treatment related to two other significant medical conditions which she failed to disclose in the application; and (b) all of the information which Plaintiff provided in the application was not true and complete to the best of her knowledge and belief.

12. Combined is further informed and believes and thereon alleges that Plaintiff concealed and neglected to communicate said material facts to Combined despite inquiry thereto by Combined and in the absence of any waiver by Combined of its rights to such information. Combined further alleges that each of said facts was material to the risk undertaken by it in issuing and delivering the Policy, in that had it known of these facts, Combined would not have issued or delivered the Policy to Plaintiff.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

13. Combined alleges that if Plaintiff sustained any damages as alleged in the Complaint, which Combined denies, Plaintiff failed to mitigate said damages.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

14. Combined alleges that Plaintiff failed to comply with one or more conditions precedent to obtaining coverage and/or continued eligibility under the Policy.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

15. Combined alleges on information and belief that the Complaint, and each purported cause of action contained therein, is barred by the doctrine of waiver.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

16. Combined alleges on information and belief that the Complaint, and each



purported cause of action contained therein, is barred by the doctrine of estoppel.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

17. Combined alleges on information and belief that by virtue of Plaintiff's careless, negligent, and other wrongful conduct, Plaintiff is barred from any recovery on her Complaint and each of the purported causes of action alleged therein, under the doctrine of unclean hands.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

18. Combined alleges, without conceding that Plaintiff has sustained any damages as alleged in her Complaint, that if any damages were sustained by Plaintiff, they were caused and contributed to by the acts of Plaintiff and the award of damages, if any, should be reduced by a proportionate percentage of the wrong attributable to Plaintiff.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

 Combined alleges that Plaintiff's remedy for any alleged breach of contract is limited by California Civil Code Sections 3300 and 3302.

THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

20. Combined alleges that the Complaint, and each purported cause of action contained therein, fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a prima facie claim for relief for punitive or exemplary damages within the meaning of California Civil Code Sections 3294 and 3295, and the imposition of punitive or exemplary damages against it would violate California Civil Code Section 3294.

FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

21. Combined alleges that the bases by which punitive damages are imposed pursuant to California statutory and common law are fatally constitutionally infirm, and

that giving effect to such laws is violative of the First, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Eighth (except the excessive fines clause) and the Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the equivalent provisions in the California Constitution.

FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

22. Combined alleges that the California practice of allowing the wealth of an insurance company defendant to be the primary measure for the imposition of a punitive and exemplary damage award constitutes an impermissible punishment of Combined's status in violation of its rights to due process and equal protection of the laws under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and by Article I, Section 7 of the California Constitution.

SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Combined alleges that granting Plaintiff's prayer for punitive damages 23. against it would violate certain provisions of the Constitution of the United States including but not limited to, the following: The Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments guarantee this defendant due process of the law and are violated by the operation of such vague, imprecise and impermissible laws regarding both the awarding and the amount of punitive damages as are the laws on which the subject punitive damage claim is based; an award of punitive damages against Combined under the facts of this case would violate due process as being grossly excessive in relation to the legitimate interests of the State of California; the Fourteenth Amendment guarantees this defendant equal protection of the laws and is violated by the imposition of punitive damages in that such sanction is discriminatory and arbitrary in penalizing this defendant on the basis of wealth; the Fourth, Fifth and Sixth Amendments form the basis for laws governing the processing, convicting and sentencing of criminal defendants and to the extent that Combined is subjected to criminal sanction for punitive damages, the burden of proof required to impose the same should be proof beyond a reasonable doubt and punitive damages should not be awarded

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

1.	PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL
2	
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA) ss.:
4	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)
5	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18
б	and not a party to the within action. My business address is 300 South Grand Avenue, 24th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90071-3185.
7	On April 7, 2010, I served on interested parties in said action the within:
8	DEFENDANT COMBINED INSURANCE COMPANY'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S
9 .	COMPLAINT
10	by placing a true copy thereof in sealed envelope(s) addressed, as stated below:
11	Robert K. Scott, Esq. Joel S. Poremba, Esq.
12	LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT K. SCOTT, APC 111 Pacifica, Suite 240
13	Irvine, CA 92618
1.4	I am readily familiar with this firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. postal service on that same
15	day in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than 1 day after date of
16	deposit for mailing in affidavit.
17	Executed on April 7, 2010, at Los Angeles, California.
18	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
19	
20	Anita De Leon Anit De Leon
21	(Type or print name) (Signature)
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
ES E. A.	Exhibit B 000048
ĽΡ	110129-1

1	PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL
2	
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
4	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES) ss.:
5	
6	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 300 South Grand Avenue, 24th Floor,
7	Los Angeles, California 90071-3185.
8	On April 9, 2010, I served on interested parties in said action the within:
9	DEFENDANT COMBINED INSURANCE COMPANY'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF
10	ACTION TO UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	by placing a true copy thereof in sealed envelope(s) addressed, as stated below. and causing such envelope(s) to be deposited in the U.S. Mail at Los Angeles, California:
12	Robert K. Scott, Esq. Joel S. Poremba, Esq.
13	LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT K. SCOTT, APC 111 Pacifica, Suite 240
14	Irvine, CA 92618
15	I am readily familiar with this firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence
16	for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. postal service on that same day in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of party served, service is
17	presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than 1 day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
18	Executed on April 9, 2010, at Los Angeles, California.
19	I declare under penalty of perjury that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar
20	of this Court at whose direction the service was made and that the foregoing is true and correct.
21	
22	Anita De Leon (Type or print name) (Signature)
23	(Type of print name) (Organizate)
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	110130.1

MESERVE, MUMPER & HUGHES LLP

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to l	District Judge S.	James Otero a	and the assigned	discovery
Magistrate Judge is Patrick J. Walsh.				

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV10- 2590 SJO (PJWx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

A	all discovery related motions	shou	ald be noticed on the calendar	of th	e Magistrate Judge
			NOTICE TO COUNSEL		
	py of this notice must be served w , a copy of this notice must be sen		e summons and complaint on all dei n all plaintiffs).	fendaı	nts (if a removal action is
Sub	sequent documents must be filed a	at the	following location:		
[X]	Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012	U	Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516		Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

* Case 2:10-cv-02590-SJO-PJW Document 1 Filed 04/09/10 Page 51 of 52 Page ID #:51

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JEANNIE ROBLES, an individual COMBINED INSURANCE COMPANY, a corporation; and DOES 1 through 30 inclusive (b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.) Robert K. Scott Joel S. Poremba LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT K. SCOTT 111 Pacifica, Suite 240 Irvine, CA 92618 (949) 753-4950 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.) PTF DEF OCTIVEN OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.) PTF DEF OCTIVEN OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.) PTF DEF OCTIVEN OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.) PTF DEF OCTIVEN OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.) PTF DEF OCTIVEN OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.) PTF DEF OCTIVEN OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.) PTF DEF OCTIVE OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.) PTF DEF OCTIVE OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - FOR DIVERSITY CONTROLLED OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - FOR DIVERSITY CONTROLLED OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - FOR DIVERSITY CONTROLLED OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - FOR DIVERSITY CONTROLLED OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - FOR DIVERSITY CONTROLLED OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - FOR DIVERSITY CONTROLLED OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - FOR DIVERSITY CONTROLLED OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - FOR DIVERSITY CONTROLLED OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - FOR DIVERSITY CONTROLLED OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - FOR DIVERSITY CONTROLLED OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - FOR DIVERSITY CONTROLLED OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - FOR DIVERSITY CONTROLLED OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - FOR DIVERSITY CONTROLLED	DEF 4
corporation; and DOES 1 through 30 inclusive (b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.) Robert K. Scott Joel S. Poremba LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT K. SCOTT 111 Pacifica, Suite 240 Irvine, CA 92618 (949) 753-4950 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.) BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.) PTF DEF Citizen of This State 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in this State Citizen of Another State 2 Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State	DEF 4
corporation; and DOES 1 through 30 inclusive (b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.) Robert K. Scott Joel S. Poremba LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT K. SCOTT 111 Pacifica, Suite 240 Irvine, CA 92618 (949) 753-4950 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.) BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.) PTF DEF Citizen of This State 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in this State Citizen of Another State 2 Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State	DEF 4
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.) Robert K. Scott Joel S. Poremba LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT K. SCOTT 111 Pacifica, Suite 240 Irvine, CA 92618 (949) 753-4950 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.) PTF DEF PTI Citizen of Another State 2 Linda M. Lawson Allison Vana MESERVE, MUMPER & HUGHES LL.P 300 South Grand Avenue, 24th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 (213) 620-0300 III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.) PTF DEF Of Business in this State Citizen of Another State Citizen of Another State	DEF 4
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.) Robert K. Scott Joel S. Poremba LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT K. SCOTT 111 Pacifica, Suite 240 Irvine, CA 92618 (949) 753-4950 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.) PTF DEF OF DEF	4 4
Robert K. Scott Joel S. Poremba LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT K. SCOTT 111 Pacifica, Suite 240 Irvine, CA 92618 (949) 753-4950 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.) PTF DEF Of Derivative Cases Only (Place and X in one box only.) 2 U.S. Government Defendant X d Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) Linda M. Lawson Allison Vana MESERVE, MUMPER & HUGHES LLP 300 South Grand Avenue, 24th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 (213) 620-0300 III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.) PTF DEF Citizen of This State X 1 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in this State Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State	4 4
Allison Vana MESERVE, MUMPER & HUGHES LLP 300 South Grand Avenue, 24th Floor 111 Pacifica, Suite 240 300 South Grand Avenue, 24th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 (213) 620-0300 (213) 620-0300 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.) PTF DEF PTI Citizen of This State X 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place Of Business in this State Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place Of Business in Another State 2 1 Incorporated and Principal Place Of Business in Another State 2 1 Incorporated and Principal Place Of Business in Another State 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	4 4
LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT K. SCOTT 111 Pacifica, Suite 240 Irvine, CA 92618 (949) 753-4950 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.) II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.) PTF DEF Citizen of This State X 1 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in this State 2 U.S. Government Defendant X 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State	4 4
300 South Grand Avenue, 24th Floor Irvine, CA 92618 (949) 753-4950 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.) PTF DEF Citizen of This State X 1 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in this State 2 U.S. Government Defendant X 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) 300 South Grand Avenue, 24th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 (213) 620-0300 III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.) PTF DEF Citizen of This State X 1 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in this State Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State	4 4
Irvine, CA 92618 (949) 753-4950 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.) PTF OEF Citizen of This State 2 U.S. Government Defendant Y 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) Citizen of Another State 2 Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State	4 4
(949) 753-4950 (213) 620-0300 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.) PTF DEF	4 4
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.) PTF DEF Citizen of This State	4 4
PTI Output Outpu	4 4
Government Not a Party) Of Business in this State Of Business in Another State Of Business in Another State	
of Parties in Item III)	E 11V 1E
Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation	5 🗶 5
Foreign Country	6 46
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.)	
Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened (specify): District Jud	eal to District ge from gistrate Judge
V. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND: X Yes No (Check 'Yes' only if demanded in complaint.)	
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.P. 23: Yes X No MONEY DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT: \$ Exceeds \$7!	000
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unknown	ss diversity.)
Diversity jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. Sections 1332, 1441, and 1446.	
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one box only.)	
	OR
400 State Reapportionment X 110 Insurance PERSONAL INIURY PERSONAL PETITIONS 710 Fai	
	Labor
410 Antitrust 120 Marine 310 Airplane 510 Motions to Sta	idards Act
410 Antitrust	ndards Act or/Mgmt,
410 Antitrust	ndards Act or/Mgmt, ations or/Mgmt.
410 Antitrust	odards Act or/Mgmt, ations or/Mgmt. orting &
410 Antitrust 120 Marine 310 Airplane 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product 420 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. 140 Negotiable Instrument Affice Product 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Slander 470 Racketeer Influenced 120 Marine 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander Slander Property Damage 330 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 330 General 330 General 730 Lat Rel 730 Lat Property Damage 330 Fed. Employers' 335 Property Damage 346 Mandamus/ 347 Project Property Damage 348 Property Damage 349 Mandamus/ 340 Airplane 340 Other Fraud 340 Assault, Libel & Sta 340 Airplane 340 Airplane 340 Airplane 340 Other Fraud 340 Assault, Libel & Sta 340 Assault, Libel & Sta 340 Airplane 340 Other Personal 340 Airplane 340 Airplane 340 Airplane 340 Other Fraud 340 Assault, Libel & Sta 340 Airplane 340 Other Fraud 340 Assault, Libel & Sta 340 Other Personal 340 Othe	odards Act or/Mgmt, ations or/Mgmt. orting & closure Act
410 Antitrust	ndards Act or/Mgmt, ations or/Mgmt. orting & closure Act way Labor Act
410 Antitrust	ndards Act or/Mgmt, ations or/Mgmt. orting & closure Act way Labor Act er Labor
410 Antitrust	ndards Act or/Mgmt, ations or/Mgmt. or/ing & closure Act way Labor Act er Labor gation
410 Antitrust	ndards Act or/Mgmt, ations or/Mgmt. orting & closure Act way Labor Act er Labor
410 Antitrust	idards Act or/Mgmt, ations or/Mgmt. orting & closure Act way Labor Act er Labor gation pl. Ret. Inc. urity Act
410 Antitrust	idards Act or/Mgmt, ations or/Mgmt. orting & closure Act way Labor Act er Labor gation bl. Ret. Inc. urity Act exignits
410 Antitrust	dards Act or/Mgmt, ations or/Mgmt. orting & closure Act way Labor Act er Labor gation pl. Ret. Inc. urity Act yrights ent
410 Antitrust	dards Act or/Mgmt, ations or/Mgmt. orting & closure Act way Labor Act er Labor gation ol. Ret. Inc. ortity Act RIGHTS overights ent demark
310 Airplane 310 Airplane 310 Morine 310 Airplane 310 Morine	ndards Act or/Mgmt, ations or/Mgmt. orting & closure Act way Labor Act er Labor gation ol. Ret. Inc. urity Act **RIGHTS* yrights ont lemark curry (1395ff)
310 Antitrust 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 130 Mille	idards Act or/Mgmt, attions or/Mgmt. orting & closure Act way Labor Act er Labor gation ol. Ret. Inc. urity Act RIGHTS hyrights ent demark ECURITY (1395ff) ck Lung (923)
410 Antitrust	dards Act or/Mgmt, ations or/Mgmt. orting & closure Act lway Labor Act er Labor gation ol. Ret. Inc. urity Act refers yrights ent lemark ECURITY (1395ff) ck Lung (923) VC/DIWW (9(9))
410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 430 Banks and Banking 430 Banks and Banking 430 Commerce/ICC 440 Negotiable Instrument 440 Negotiable 440 Negotiable Instrument 440 Negotiable I	dards Act or/Mgmt, ations or/Mgmt. orting & closure Act lway Labor Act er Labor gation bl. Ret. Inc. urity Act YRIGHTS yrights ent lemark ECURITY (1395ff) & Lung (923) VC/DIWW 5(g)) D Title XVI
410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 130 Miller Act	dards Act or/Mgmt, ations or/Mgmt. orting & closure Act way Labor Act er Labor gation ol. Ret. Inc. urity Act **PEGEES* yrights ont lemark ECURIES* (1395ff) ck Lung (923) VC/DIWW VC/DIWW VC/DIWW (405(g))
410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. 460 Deportation 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 150 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Veterans) 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 154 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 155 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 156 Stockholders' Suits 157 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 156 Stockholders' Suits 157 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 156 Stockholders' Suits 157 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 156 Stockholders' Suits 157 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 157 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 157 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 158 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 159 Contract Product Liability 150 Contract Product Liability	idards Act or/Mgmt, ations or/Mgmt. oring & closure Act way Labor Act er Labor gation ol. Ret. Inc. urity Act YRIGHTS yrights ent emark ECURITY (1395ff) ck Lung (923) VC/DIWW 5(g)) D Title XVI (405(g))
410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 430 Banks and Banking 440 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 151 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 190 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 195 Freedom of Info. Act 190 Appela of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 245 Tort Product Liability 246 Tort Product Liability 246 Tort Product Liability 246 Tort Product Liability 246 Tort Product Liability 246 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 246 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 246 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 246 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 246 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 246 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 246 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 246 Tort Product Liability 246 Tort Product Liability 246 Tort Product Liability 246 Tort Product Liability 246 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 246 Tort Product Liability 246 Tort Product Liability 247 Tort Product Liability 248 To	dards Act or/Mgmt, ations or/Mgmt. orting & closure Act way Labor Act er Labor gation pl. Ret. Inc. urity Act RIGHTS hyrights ent elemark ECURITY (1395ff) ck Lung (923) VC/DIWW (9(9)) D Title XVI (405(g)) Es (U.S. ntiff or
410 Antitrust 120 Marine 310 Airplane 310 A	idards Act or/Mgmt, ations or/Mgmt. orting & closure Act way Labor Act er Labor gation bl. Ret. Inc. urity Act REGETS pyrights ent lemark ECURITY L(1395ff) ck Lung (923) VC/DIWW 6(g)) D Title XVI (405(g)) XXSUIS es (U.S. ntiff or endant)
410 Antitrust 120 Marine 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 130 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Ac	dards Act or/Mgmt, ations or/Mgmt. orting & closure Act way Labor Act er Labor gation pl. Ret. Inc. urity Act RIGHTS hyrights ent elemark ECURITY (1395ff) ck Lung (923) VC/DIWW (9(9)) D Title XVI (405(g)) Es (U.S. ntiff or
410 Antitrust 120 Marine 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 130 Miller Act 130 Miller Act 130 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Act 132 Miller Ac	dards Act or/Mgmt, ations or/Mgmt or/M

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

& Case 2:10-cv-02590-SJO-PJW Document 1 Filed 04/09/10 Page 52 of 52 Page ID #:52

UNITED STATES LISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT & CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

			·	
VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES	S: Has this action be	en previously filed in this	court and dismissed, remanded or closed? X No Yes	
If yes, list case number(s):	<u></u>			
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have any cases been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case? X No Yes				
If yes, list case number(s):				
Civil cases are deemed related	if a previously filed	case and the present cas	е:	
(Check all boxes that apply) A. Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or				
B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or				
C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or				
D. Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.				
IX. VENUE: (When completing				
			State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides.	
Check here if the govern	ment, its agencies or	employees is a named plai	intiff. If this box is checked, go to item (b).	
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California, or Foreign Country	
Jeannie Robles			• •	
County of Los Angeles				
(b) List the County in this Dist	rict; California Coun	ty outside of this District; S	State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides.	
			endant. If this box is checked, go to item (c).	
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
	-		Combined Insurance Company - Glenview,	
			Illinois is principal place of business	
(a) List the County in this Dist	riat: California Coun	ty outside of this District	State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Note: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
Los Angeles, on information and			Controlling Country Co	
belief				
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Counties				
Note: In land condemnation case				
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PRO PER):		and a	Var Date April 9, 2010	
		Allison Vana	Date April 1, 2010	
	•	ATTISON Vana	•	
Notice to Counsel/Parties: The CV-71 (JS-44) Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed but is used by the Clerk of the Court for the purpose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)				
Key to Statistical codes relating	to Social Security Ca	ses:		
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	f Cause of Action	
861	ніа	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))		
862	BĽ	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)		
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))		
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))		
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.		
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))		

Page 2 of 2